

A NOTE ON SUM-ELIMINATOR

Z. Šikić, Zagreb

Abstract. We fill in a gap in Takeuti's constructive demonstration of the fact that: Whenever a concrete method of constructing a decreasing sequence of ordinals less than ε_0 is given, any such decreasing sequence must be finite. We have proved a result on sum-eliminator, which fills the gap.

In Ch. 2.11 of Takeuti's »Proof theory« it is demonstrated that:

Whenever a concrete method of constructing decreasing sequence of ordinals is given, any such decreasing sequence must be finite.

In step (XII) it is demonstrated that we can concretely construct $(\mu \cdot m, n + 1)$ -eliminator from given $(\mu, n + 1)$ -eliminator, for every $m < \omega$. This particular demonstration works unfortunately for $n > 0$ only, what makes it incomplete. To fill the gap we have to demonstrate:

Given an α_1 -eliminator (it means $(\alpha_1, 1)$ -eliminator) and an α_2 -eliminator we can construct an $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ -eliminator.

Suppose α_1 -eliminator A_1 and α_2 -eliminator A_2 are given. In order to construct $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ -eliminator we give a concrete method B .

Given a descending sequence $a_0 > a_1 > \dots$, the method B should produce a decreasing $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ -sequence $b_0 > b_1 > \dots$, which satisfies the condition: b_0 is $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ -major part of a_0 and if $b_0 > b_1 > \dots$ is a finite sequence, then so is $a_0 > a_1 > \dots$.

The prescription for B is as follows. Suppose we are given a decreasing sequence $a_0 > a_1 > \dots$. Then α_1 -eliminator A_1 can be applied to this sequence and hence it concretely produces an α_1 -sequence $c_0 > c_1 > \dots$. Each c_i can be written as

$$c_i = c'_i + d_i, \quad c'_i \text{ is } \alpha_1 + \alpha_2\text{-major part of } c_i. \quad (1)$$

Put $b_0 = c'_0$. Suppose $b_0 > b_1 > \dots > b_n$ have been constructed and $b_n = c'_{p_n}$. We proceed as follows. If $c'_{p_n} = c'_{p_n+1} = \dots = c'_{p_n+k}$ and c'_{p_n+k} is the last term in the sequence, then stop. Otherwise $c'_{p_n} = c'_{p_n+1} = \dots = c'_{p_n+l_{n+1}-1} > c'_{p_n+l_{n+1}}$ for some l_{n+1} . This is true since

$$c'_{p_n} = c'_{p_n+1} = \dots \quad (2)$$

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1980): Primary 03 F 99; Secondary 03 F 15, 03 F 50.

Key words and phrases: α -eliminator, α -sequence, sum-eliminator.

together with (1) implies

$$d_{p_n} > d_{p_n+1} > \dots \quad (3)$$

Each d_i ($i > p_n$) can be written in the canonical form

$$d_i = \omega^{\gamma_l^i} + \dots + \omega^{\gamma_{n_l}^i} \quad (4)$$

But $c_0 > c_1 > \dots$ is an α_1 -sequence and this together with (1) implies

$$\alpha_1 < \gamma_l^i < \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \quad \text{for } l = 1, \dots, n_l. \quad (5)$$

It follows that we can find ordinals β_l^i such that

$$\gamma_l^i = \alpha_1 + \beta_l^i \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_l^i < \alpha_2. \quad (6)$$

From (4) and (6) it follows that

$$d_i = \omega^{\alpha_1 + \beta_1^i} + \dots + \omega^{\alpha_1 + \beta_{n_l}^i} = \omega^{\alpha_1} (\omega^{\beta_1^i} + \dots + \omega^{\beta_{n_l}^i}) = \omega^{\alpha_1} \cdot d'_i \quad (7)$$

$$d'_i < \omega^{\alpha_2}.$$

From (3) we have $d'_{p_n} > d'_{p_n+1} > \dots$. Applying α_2 -eliminator A_2 to this sequence (for which $d'_{p_n} < \omega^{\alpha_2}$), as described in step (VIII) of Takeuti's demonstration, we conclude that the given sequence is finite. Therefore the sequence (2) is also finite. Put now $b_{n+1} = c'_{p_n+i_{n+1}}$. From the definition, it is obvious that $b_0 > b_1 > \dots > b_k > \dots$ is decreasing sequence. Suppose this sequence is finite, say $b_0 > b_1 > \dots > b_k$. Then according to the prescribed construction of b_0 and b_{n+1} the original sequence $a_0 > a_1 > \dots$ is finite and has exactly $p_k = l_0 + l_1 + \dots + l_k$ members. Thus the method B is an $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ -eliminator.

REFERENCES:

[1] G. Takeuti, Proof theory, North-Holland 1975.

(Received September 9, 1980)

Fakultet strojarstva i brodogradnje
D. Salaja 5
41000 Zagreb, Yugoslavia

NAPOMENA O ELIMINATORU SUME

Z. Šikić, Zagreb

Sadržaj

U Takeutijevom konstruktivnom dokazu tvrdnje: »Svaki konkretnom metodom konstruiran i strogo silazan niz ordinala manjih od ε_0 mora biti konačan«, nalazimo netrivialni propust koji dokaz čini nepotpunim. U radu je dokazana tvrdnja o suma -eliminatoru koja popunjava spomenutu prazninu.